

DESIGN YOUR OWN CONSPIRACY THEORY

Please mix and match from the following lists.

ONE is being manipulated/exploited/lied about by TWO to achieve THREE.



VACCINES	RUSSIA	SATAN'S REIGN
CHILDREN'S HEALTH	'BIG PHARMA'	HIDING THE TRUTH
CHILDREN'S BRAINS	GOVERNMENTS	BRAIN DAMAGE
MOULD	CORPORATIONS	BRIBERY
CUSTARD	OFFICIALS	CONSPIRACIES
TRUTH/REALITY	ALIENS	MAKING MONEY
WATER SUPPLY	THE ILLUMINATI	MIND CONTROL
TELEPHONE MASTS	THE MASONS	CONSUMERISM
HUMANITY	THE JEWS	ALL KIDS AUTISTIC
TELEVISION	THE CATHOLICS	RUSSIAN RULE
ROCK MUSIC	ISIS	MOSLEM RULE
NEWSPAPERS	AMERICA	GLOBAL RULE
THE INTERNET	ME	MY TOTAL RULE

EXPOSE THE TRUTH
9/11 WAS AN INSIDE JOB

A Conspiracy theory science fiction film,
entirely read from the same script.

WAXXED

Lies, paranoia and fantasy





When Wakefield says he lost his country, this may refer to there being an outstanding warrant for his arrest in the UK. The warrant arose from his being found guilty of malpractice by the British Medical Council as I was told by a reliable source. To this day, I have found no online corroboration of this. But still...?

**At the end of the X Files television show in the 1990's,
one columnist summed the show up perfectly for me.
They wrote it was '*What stupid people think is clever*'.**

**Please dip in and out of any part of this document.
It is written as a collection of stand alone statements
in a loosely connecting style.
For the sake of brevity - this is the short version of my
report on the Vaxxed film - I assume the reader has a
knowledge of the people mentioned.**

What exactly would it take to convince the global Anti Vaccination movement the world over that any single vaccine was safe?

I am still trying to find an answer to that question. It looks to me like it is not possible.

The Stealthy Aspie, 2017.

April Fools' Day 2016 was when the Vaxxed film was credited online as being first released to the world. Although since that time the makers and its supporters, who are basically anyone who wants to use a video projector in a hired venue to see it, have claimed their screening was its premier in that area. They also claimed a great number of people were trying to stop them. Instructions on where the film would be shown were given out minutes beforehand in the case of the Dublin Screening in April, and attracted a fraction of the cinema's capacity. The venue in question was scheduled for demolition later in 2017.

The same bizarre 'precautions' were taken in each place the film was shown, in case of protests against it. In Dublin a small group of autistic adults turned up, stood over the road and waved placards. The venue manager called the police who drove up in front of the venue, and told him that they could not arrest people for free speech. There exists no proof that the people attending these screenings around the US, Europe and the UK have ever had anything to worry about. Nonetheless it was later claimed by the people attending the Dublin Vaxxed screening that they feared for their lives.

Polly Tommey, whom I will go on to discuss, was seen by a friend of mine present staring out of the entrance windows looking worried and scared. At the sight of half a dozen people wanting a civil conversation. Two American cameramen, flown over from America with Tommey, stood in front of the protestors and tried to use circular arguments, deductive reasoning and anything they could pick up on in what the protestors were saying. To assert that the autistic people were a bit confused as they had been brain damaged by vaccines, and that the Vaxxed people were only trying to help them. Charming.

(The reoccurring mindset of the anti vaxx movement appears to be about verifying and asserting their beliefs as absolutes, which means inductive thinking. As we shall see, cult members possess uniform and fixed views that define their personal identities as well as group membership).

The so called London premier on the 14th February 2017, ten months after release, happened in secret and caused the host organization, the College of Homeopathic Education, to lose their use of the space. They had previously rented it from Regents University London. This came about after a screening in a central London venue The Curzon cinema, was called off by management who cancelled the private booking.

A US petition supporting the film's demands failed to reach its required threshold of votes end of March 2017. A parallel petition against that petition, claiming it stigmatized autistic people and was hate crime, was taken down after a few weeks due to mysterious complaints being made.

Is the Vaxxed film one big April fool? In this piece, I will attempt to discuss how it certainly looks so. Also, this piece of writing is not a scene by scene breakdown, and misses out a lot of material that could be considered against the film. There was so much I could say that I found myself delayed releasing it over and over. So please enjoy this short version. I also assume the reader has a knowledge of what Vaxxed is all about. It is easy to come by illegally via Bit Torrent, and copies flood YouTube regularly before being taken down.

The key cult members, Andrew Wakefield, Polly Tommey and the relatively new Del Bigtree are assumed to be known of by the reader as well. Sorry but there is so much to say and this is the short version. Lastly, this document did not require me to research any deeper than the internet. I used a Google browser with the safe search function off. That is the level that a subject this serious and grave is being debated at. Dialogues on social media such as Facebook and Twitter have become aggressive, dogmatic and are affecting whether or not children get vaccinations. It is all that shallow.

By the fans for the fans. The movie has been constructed from television and documentary footage and contains a lot for Wakefield Cult members to enjoy and nod knowingly at, in order to reinforce their collective cult mindset.

IT IS NOT ORIGINAL as it subscribes to the conspiracy theory genre. Back in 1977 a film was made for television called **ALTERNATIVE 3**. You can see it on YouTube. It was meant for an April 1st release but came out weeks later, causing much amusement. It is a deadly seriously made documentary of apparently real interviews with people. At the end, it proves the case that a secret manned mission to Mars has happened. (This is shown happening at the end. I think it was one of the first found footage film technique dramas ever made. A technique later popularized by the Blair Witch Project).

The genre of conspiracy theory was championed by the writers Robert Anton Wilson and Robert Shea in their series of books, The Illuminatus Trilogy. In May 2017 I attended a London theatre production of a play about the life and times of Anton Wilson after the Illuminatus conspiracy theory books came to prominence in the 1970's.

It was noticeable how he portrayed a world of people actively living their lives through esoteric books on magic and the occult, and passionately holding views on government conspiracies and the existence of secret societies that had been influencing human history for centuries. Characters abounded onstage whose devotion to such a way of life was passionate. Intellectual figures such as R.D. Laing, passed in and out of jail, madness and hallucinatory drug induced visions. Aleister Crowley the noted Cambridge graduate, drug addict and occult writer appears onstage. Singing. It has all happened before in different forms...

Synchronicity of events was a major theme of the plays writers and producers. Vaxxed was of course released on the first of April 2016. Coincidence? Surely one of its messages is that nothing is what it seems, eh? As I reflected there now exists a seasoned genre of such films. Netflix, the noted online film and television content provider, has a portfolio of such films under documentaries.

Absolute statements and dogma are a hallmark of the genre. About fifty four minutes in, Vaxxed explains in alarming tones that if the rates of autism diagnosis continue their current trend, half the population of children will be neurodiverse by

around 2032. Oh, and eighty percent of the boys. Did the Vaxxed makers consider that in their lifetimes their own film may prove itself wrong and make fools of them? That is the level of confidence present.

It is also implicit without it being said that they will all be mentally handicapped autistics too. Unable to lead normal independent lives. Apparently in a state of constant aggressive meltdown. That is the only visual representation of autistics you get. Well if they have autism, what else? Surely they won't grow up to be married, literate and employed adults like myself.

I was a classic late developer as we often are in the frame of reference that the Cult always, always applies to everyone and everything. The same narrow minded way of seeing people. Okay or ill. Normal or doomed.

The world has seen films like this before but they are usually comedies. An aspect of Vaxxed that it trades on is the saturation bombing of 'experts' provided. Long looking lists of people involved are stated in the end credits, in a final attempt to make it look like armies of believing people and credible medical experts who know what they are talking about, were involved in the film's making.

For example, Polly Tommey's daughter Bella turns up as a Production Assistant; Del Digtree's wife Lee Nestor Bigtree is a co producer, and one Imogen Wakefield is co credited with the cinematography. This means she operated cameras, together with one Brian Burrowes who is also credited as the main editor of the Austin Texas Editorial Team, and one of the major producers. The other two being Polly Tommey and Andrew Wakefield, who is given the title of Dr. in the preceding list of people interviewed in the film, which of course he is not. It gets dropped as a Producer.

The Vaxxed makers seem to have thrown in anyone they could get away with naming, just to bolster the titles up to show how many people were behind the project. Also, it shows just what kind of people actually wanted to work on Vaxxed apart from the people they paid. In the Regents University building screening it was boasted that 350 people attended. It was not explained who they were, how they got there and what they thought of the film afterwards.

You can only have one belief about the film afterwards. As of when I wrote this piece, apart from the people I name as criticizing it no one else has commented on it as I do. As I go on to comment at length, the films supporters seem to project onto it as this religious experience that 'changes everything'. The same fervor probably went on around the Hollywood movie of the life of Jesus, The Greatest Story Ever Told, when that came out. A high budget all star cast of the ultimate evangelical Christian statement. Also, there seems implicit the idea that once seen, you will not change your mind about the whole thing and decide vaccines are okay. Which is at odds with the cults daily cry WE WANT SAFE VACCINES – THE FILM IS ALL ABOUT VACCINE SAFETY. Not at all about stigmatizing them to bring back epidemics of course.

What exactly would it take to convince the cult that proper medical science the world over has confirmed that any single vaccine is 'safe'? I am still trying to find an answer to that question. It looks to me like it's not possible.

As films go it has all been done before. Leni Riefenstahl's *Triumph of the Will* is possibly the darkest example. Nazi Germany loved it. At one point whilst Adolf Hitler takes the salute to a quarter of a million soldiers, the storm troopers in the Nuremberg stadium sequence start singing. Everybody loved Adolf. One film critic friend of mine reckoned *Forrest Gump* was a grim example of American masturbation and glorification. Did you see that, or a pleasant if allegorical film? Look deeper folks.

What does a manipulative message film look like when it pretends to be nothing but candid interviews? Try *Little Hope was Arson*, Netflix's film about a series of churches being burned down in the heartlands of the USA Bible belt. Only towards the end do we get to see the two perpetrators. On trial we see their black clothes, tattoos and hair cuts. Suddenly we see what kind of young men they really were. In fact, we realize they were waging guerilla warfare on the culture they grew up in and that went on to make the film itself. It all becomes grimly clear what they were doing at that point, despite the film's best attempts to both obscure and re-interpret them as deluded and sick people. Although it would have helped if they had either moved out of the area or started a peaceful protest group instead. I do not condone their actions, but I think I understand them better than their own families were portrayed as doing.

THE ENTIRE FOCUS OF THE FILM IS DATED BY NEARLY 20 YEARS. Wakefield was first published making his MMR claim in the *Lancet* in 1998, so let us take this as the start of his martyr ship/guru status/heroic quest to save the planet from autism inducing children's brains raping vaccines. He's rather good at typifying this. The man is 60 and looks fit, strong and speaks with real gravitas and bearing. His focus is marked by a powerful speaking voice and very relaxed and focus screen presence. He is very good at speaking to people, cameras and meetings. YouTube will back this claim up, and what good use he made of it long before Vaxxed. Please note that Wakefield was struck off from being a doctor in 2010.

So he has been saying the same thing for a long time. A small group of people have agreed with him and done the same. So a few people have been saying that vaccines cause autism in harmony with each other. By the time Vaxxed was credited as being first 'released', the world had been able to read both of Wakefield's subsequent books, and absorb his updates on a small number of devoted, deeply biased and utterly obsessed websites for 18 years. Age of Autism being the prime example of such a website. I have been monitoring this home made 'daily newspaper of the autism epidemic' since around 2009. I have a long history of reading articles about vaccines and mercury causing autism. They have never convinced me of anything they claim.

THE BOY WHO CRIED WOLF EFFECT. He cried it once too often, so no one believed him. If Wakefield was coming out with this for the first time, there might be some credence that could be given. However, as I just reflected, 18 years is a long time to state something repetitively without any Earth shattering change in response from any

government anywhere. That must be quite a powerful conspiracy against the truth, eh?

So what is Vaxxed supposed to do? Change anything how? We've heard it all before. The theatrical device of a bugged insider being turned into an unwilling and unwitting whistleblower is at least entertaining. (Watch out for the possible assassination comments near the very end. I cover them later on. Thrilling. Ooooooh!)

The film is nothing new. Polly Tommey, who appears to have achieved nothing with her 2010 HELLO BOYS billboards put around the UK and talking to the then UK Prime Minister, is someone a lot of people are used to and many do not like. Although I am sure many more will relate to her in an entirely neutral way as not being bothered by her. *'Oh, its just her again going on....'* Same thing with Andrew Wakefield. But Bigtree is new. Lets think about Del?

DEL BIGTREE IS TOO GOOD. Originally it was all Andrew Wakefield the struck off doctor, hiding from prosecution in the USA¹. Polly Tommey, an ex actor, started a magazine to promote his ideas and a cult was born. The Autism File magazine is utterly biased, and often makes rather bizarre connections between for example, autism prevalence and mould. The magazine was a main incubator of the ideas now presented in Vaxxed. As I reflected, for years an equally weird website run from the USA by a small gang of conspiracy theorists called Age of Autism has been doing a more extreme version of the same job.

So over the years since around the late 1990's, a small sub culture world incubated and developed its identity. An apparently eugenicist, utterly biased and opinionated one, recruiting its followers and cult disciples from the ranks of parents who had mentally handicapped children, and who had all obtained autism diagnosis for them. Or who decided they had one for their children.


A peripheral following of conspiracy theorists, the odd medical professional and the concerned and gullible ensued. Whilst trying to look huge it remains just a tiny group of ringleaders per country. It takes a lot of time, energy and the pursuit, development and teaching of biased pseudoscience to keep up. Different countries will have one or more proactive members. The big three on the planet being Wakefield, Tommey and now Bigtree.

(Other players such as the Age of Autism editorial team of three people like Mark Blaxill are not really mentioned in the film aside from a brief interview with Blaxill. As I will discuss he does what he can to quote the most alarming statistics about autism prevalence in children in the past, and its growth, that he can).

Del Bigtree was a television producer of medicine and health focused discussion shows before he tells us in the film that he went full time on the Vaxxed project. He claims he does not have any autistic children. He often explains how his motives are purely at

¹ A reliable source of mine is convinced that there is an outstanding UK warrant for Wakefields arrest to this day, due to the findings of the BMC of professional misconduct in the way he conducted his research with a birthday party of 12 children in the 1990's. No child was injured and no parent has yet complained about him. But as far as health, safety and medical protocols were concerned, technically he may as well have pulled fingernails out of them. It was at this point that the consultant this and honorary that of the Royal Free Hospital became a law unto himself.

the horror of what he has found out is going on. To date (since Wakefield was struck off in 1998) he is the only person with his skills and charisma to turn up supporting these people to such an extent. His oratory skills are very good and he takes up a substantial amount of screen time in Vaxxed. Here is Bigtree's biography on the Vaxxed website:



PRODUCER Del Bigtree was an Emmy Award-winning producer on the daytime talk show *The Doctors*, for six years. With a background both as a filmmaker and an investigative medical journalist, he is best known for combining visually impactful imagery, raw emotional interviews and unbiased investigative research into stories that push the envelope of daytime television. Some of his most thought-provoking episodes include a rare televised debate between Monsanto's head of toxicology Dr. Donna Farmer and GMO activist Jeffrey Smith and an exposé on a "gold standard" medical test that mistakenly indicts loving parents for child abuse, causing their children to be remanded to Child Protective Services.

When Bigtree began investigating the story of the CDC Whistleblower and the fraud perpetrated by the CDC, he soon realized that he had stumbled upon a story of corruption and deception beyond any he had ever seen. Further investigation into the wrongful destruction of Andrew Wakefield's career orchestrated by Big Pharma and the UK Department of Health inspired him to focus all of his attention on what he believes to be the most crucial documentary of the year. Bigtree is proud to be working side by side with Andrew Wakefield, one of the most important minds of our time, to create a documentary that will not only alert Americans to the outright destruction of their civil liberties, but will lead the charge to demand the formation of a genuine independent research body conducting legitimate science to ensure that all vaccines are truly safe.

Great, isn't it? Who needs a small degree of 'what if', or 'maybe' or 'I might be wrong in life?' Old Del is one utterly one hundred percent on board boy here. What kind of people will read this and utterly swallow it? How can you write such clumsy self righteous copy and expect people to believe it? Does he have a silver suit of armour and a big white horse too? Or is it a white Stetson with a black mask and silver bullets for his antique Colt Peacemaker pistol?

Note the use of the term Big Pharma? Apart from occasional and random references in Wakefield Cult writings online to the Merck corporation, a definition of Big Pharma remains the same thing as The Bogeyman and The Global Illuminati. Also blamed is the 'UK Department of Health'. Did Andrew Wakefield have his career destroyed by the National Health Service? N.H.S. = S.P.E.C.T.R.E.? Oh, you want paranoid and living on another planet where people get executed and lose their jobs for revealing the hidden truth? Try this quote from the picture above:

A quote from above: **FURTHER INVESTIGATION INTO THE WRONGFUL DESTRUCTION OF ANDREW WAKEFIELD'S CAREER ORCHESTRATED BY BIG PHARMA AND THE UK DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH** INSPIRED HIM TO FOCUS ALL OF HIS ATTENTION ON WHAT HE BELIEVES TO BE THE MOST CRUCIAL DOCUMENTARY OF THE YEAR.

Does this sound sane to you? I go onto discuss what exactly the term Big Pharma is supposed to mean here. Vague isn't it? One thing it appears to entail is that all pharmaceutical companies and corporations that at the least make vaccines, are the villains of the situation he sees. All of them working together.

So lets have a look at a list of companies, some of them different branches of the same one, that make vaccines? This must be the real Big Pharma. The phrase seems to assume a tiny group of super powerful corporations, right?

GlaxoSmithKline	Wavre, Belgium	Small Molecules, Vaccines, Biologics
IGNITE Immunotherapy	Alameda, CA	Oncolytic Virus Vaccines
Dynavax Technologies	Berkeley, CA	DNA Therapeutics
Gritstone Oncology	Emeryville, CA	Personalized cancer vaccines
Novartis Diagnostics	Emeryville, CA	Small Molecules, Vaccines, Biologics
Entest Biomedical	La Mesa, CA	Immuno-therapeutic treatments that address illnesses and maladies in both veterinary and human medicine.
CardioVax	Los Angeles, CA	Small Molecules
ImmunoScience	Pleasanton, CA	HIV diagnostic, vaccine
Juvaris	Pleasanton, CA	Vaccines
Tolerion	Portola Valley, CA	DNA Vaccines for Autoimmune Disorders
Bavarian Nordic	Redwood City, CA	Vaccines
PaxVax	Redwood City, CA	Vaccines
Adamis Pharmaceuticals	San Diego, CA	Specialty Pharmaceuticals
BioMedicure	San Diego, CA	Cancer Vaccines, Cancer Research Products
Inovio Pharmaceuticals	San Diego, CA	DNA Vaccines
MabVax Therapeutics	San Diego, CA	Small Molecules
MediGene	San Diego, CA	Small Molecules, Oncolytic Viruses
Novartis	San Diego, CA	Small Molecules, Vaccines, Biologics
PaxVax	San Diego, CA	Vaccines
Pfenex	San Diego, CA	Biosimilars, Vaccines
Polynoma	San Diego, CA	Melanoma Vaccine
Vical	San Diego, CA	DNA Delivery, Vaccines

Opko Health	Miami, FL	Biologics, Vaccines, Diagnostics, etc
Abivax	Evry , France	Viral diseases
InnaVirVax	EVRY , France	Vaccines
APCure	Lyon, France	Cancer vaccines
Imaxio	Lyon, France	Fusion Vaccines
Valneva	Lyon, France	Antibody Discovery
Abivax	Montpellier , France	Viral diseases
Valneva	Nantes, France	Vaccines, Antibodies and Cell Lines
Abivax	Paris, France	Viral diseases
GlaxoSmithKline	Paris, France	Small Molecules, Vaccines, Biologics
Onxeo	Paris, France	Small Molecules, Biologics
Vaxon Biotech	Paris, France	Cancer Vaccine
VitamFero	Tours, France	Vaccine Technology
GeoVax	Atlanta, GA	Vaccines
Inhibikase Therapeutics	Atlanta, GA	Therapeutic Vaccines
Novartis	Duluth, GA	Small Molecules, Vaccines, Biologics
GeneCure Biotechnologies	Norcross, GA	Gene Transfer Technology
Bavarian Nordic	Berlin, Germany	Vaccines
Vibalogics	Cuxhaven, Germany	Contract Vaccines
GlaxoSmithKline	Heidelberg, Germany	Small Molecules, Vaccines, Biologics
BioNTech	Mainz , Germany	Biologics
Bavarian Nordic	Martinsried, Germany	Vaccines
Emergent BioSolutions	Planegg, Germany	Biologic Vaccines
Immatics Biotechnologies	Tuebingen, Germany	Cancer immunotherapy
CSL Behring	Bradley, IL	Biologics

These are a fraction of a total of fourteen such screenshots. There are in reality that many Big Pharma's. Does Bigtree seriously believe that every single one fo these companies not only helped to attack one doctor, but are also collectively hiding that fact that vaccines cause a brain damage being hidden behind the guise of hereditary autism? Do you believe that?

Bigtree comes across as far too certain and dogmatic. If you are going to make such a film you don't use someone that good. You use candid interviews. The standard technique for decades on t.v. documentary has always been to avoid always having the interviewer in front of the camera. You concentrate on the interviewees. If the presenter does hog the screen, they have to be there to sum up what the interviewees and quoted research seem to be saying.

Risking giving your own opinions is telling the audience what to believe, think and feel. Bigtree gives huge monologues in his superior way. He's telling the audience what they should be finding out for themselves from the interviews. He actually quite labours it, straining to the camera as if to say that **THIS IS WHAT IS REAL AND THE ONLY THINGS YOU SHOULD ALL BELIEVE**. Beware of his obviously practiced ability with television documentary presentation.

As I mention later on, he's really good on a stage in front of huge audiences of people too. I find it significant and something that should be investigated just how this man came to be so committed and involved here. Unless you believe everything in that screen sample I just showed you?

OF COURSE, BIGTREE IS A PARENT. You get to see both of his children as babies, with a younger and loving Del playing with them. That's where the personal authority of all the Cult members here comes from. Wakefield of course has four children and practiced medicine as a Gastroenterologist. Er yes, none of the cults ringleaders actually trained medically in the field of autism, let alone pediatrics. But some of the doctors interviewed do, running clinics etcetera. Or do they? We will touch on that later.

HOW ARE THEY DEFINING AUTISM HERE? This is really important. They seem to be speaking about **SEVERELY MENTALLY HANDICAPPED CHILDREN**. Which they reckon have been brain damaged by vaccines. Well not reckon as they are utterly convinced without any fear of future contradiction.

One theme appears to be that of either

- brain poisoning,
- Brain damage through vaccines,
- Brain damage through mercury.
- Brain damage through a combination of a hereditary potential triggered by vaccines and toxins in the environment.
- Never, ever just a hereditary state of mind shared by other family members.

All but the last of which produce uniform characteristics which they can define (DSM 5 style²) as autism³. Thus you get a blind spots in the brain effect, which leads to hyper ability in some respects and hypo or low in others. A characteristic of all autistic people. My Wife assures me, having trained as a nurse and then later on in life become a high school chemistry teacher, that different injuries can generate similarities due to parts of the brain shutting down. BUT THEY ARE DIFFERENT KINDS INJURIES SO NO MATTER HOW MANY UNIVERSAL CHARACTERISTICS, THEY WILL ALWAYS PRESENT DIFFERENTLY.

A diagnosed autistic adult and fellow of the Royal Society of Chemistry, my wife also assured me these were horribly crude generalizations to make. I explained that this was the dogmatic level the online anti vaxx community seemed to engage with critics at, all the time. She replied, well, do not end up like them dear.

But note how biased their whole approach to what they all call autism is? Where are all the medical experts who are open minded about the causes of what they define as autism? Or the ones who disagree. Or the ones with different diagnosis criteria for autism? Where are their arguments? Zero are present.

Where are the arguments for and against, with which to bolster and convince the audience that the Vaxxed makers acknowledge alternatives, but have superior ones to give? Documentaries are full of such tactics, and such patterns occur naturally in any ordinary open minded debate.

Throughout the belief system of the Wakefield cult you always get these references to healing and curing autistic children. There seems to be an underlying faith that one day all that effort and protest will lead to their saving their own children and making them normal, or neurotypical⁴.

I find it interesting here to mention that the terminology around defining what autism is and how one has it, is crucial to which side you are on. Literally which collective opinion or group. That's the world of neurodiversity right now. Wakefields cult is just one group albeit representative of a larger confederacy of people, united by sharing their beliefs about the nature and causes of autism. Or are they deliberately manipulating such people to make them sympathetic?

You could be a member of such groups by being either:

1. The parent of a mentally disabled child who wants them cured.

² The medical industry standard autism diagnosis is always referred to as coming from the characteristics to be found in the so Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. Edition five is the current one. This has been looked to for years now as the gold standard in medicine in the USA, and is referred to here in the UK too.

³ What exactly is the issue here? The Age of Autism site was primarily biased towards mercury as the root of all vaccine evil. Many anti vaxxers go online daily about mercury in our environment. Mercury brain poisoning has a particular set of properties that are easily medically defined. One reason mercury gets picked on is the universality of the symptoms of brain damage, be it in a car crash, through poisoning or from real vaccine damage and their parallels with being a hereditary autistic whose nature is on top of them. Kanner syndrome style. But many vaccines do not have any mercury content. Oh, make your minds up?

⁴ Having a typical neurological make up. Not being neurodiverse, which is a broader and more appropriate term that the Anti Vaxxers always overlook in favour of autism. A more stigmatized and pitiful word in the culture of the USA. Over there you can insult someone calling them autistic.

2. A parent of the same who just wants to get to know their unique nature and work with that rather than change the child.
3. A professional clinician or doctor who classifies all autism as a disorder and thus a medical condition to be treated, ameliorated and hopefully eradicated.
4. A professional clinician or doctor who classifies all autism as a different state of mind. Such people have sympathy with many of the other groups on this list.
5. An autistic person of any age, who seeks to understand themselves and be accepted by the world around them for who and what they are.
6. An autistic person who sees their autistic nature as a disability and wishes they did not have it.
7. An autistic person who does not have the self awareness to be able to relate to any of the above and just wants support.

Yes I know. Seven groups that I can think of, and only one of the medical groups is noted as not polarized from all the others. Ne'er the twain shall meet. Arguments between group members are infrequent but do happen on social media. They normally stick to their own groups, discussing and reinforcing their own beliefs.

Meanwhile the children are aging. The clock is ticking. Why, surely Vaxxed is like a science fiction thriller film or something?

IT IS A DOGMATIC, CONSPIRACY THEORY DOOMSDAY SCIENCE FICTION FILM.

Conspiracy theory is a genre credited with coming to the fore in the wake of the John F Kennedy assassination. (It seems to now have its home on the internet, amongst YouTube channels full of one person tv stations that tell 'the real news' and 'the truth' that the rest of the media will not. Wakefield has had more than one interview amongst such folks. All the ones I've seen, he never seems to be contradicted. Funny that).


In every science fiction film there is often a part where someone of authority, a scientist or a military leader type, explains the plot to the audience via some on screen conversation. They do the same in the Terminator films where brave humanity takes on the robot monsters, created by a big corporation. Or The Insider, where Russell Crowe plays a whistleblower working inside the tobacco industry. Not that anyone suspected their product could harm anyone before I suppose...

Actually if you look at the genre, you find that the whistleblowers themselves are always a central character engaging with everyone else in the cast. Even Deep Throat in All The Presidents Men, talked face to face with the two Washington Post reporters in the end. In Vaxxed the whole thing is hinged around William Thompson's recorded telephone conversations, supposedly recorded without his knowing, about how the statistics seem to bear out a correlation that the more African American boys were vaccinated, the more turned out to be autistic.

That is it. That is the entire core argument of the film. They knew! They knew and they had meetings and they hid it all!! Trust us, we know what we are talking about here. We even have the qualifications to prove it.

THE CAST IS SMALL BUT FLAUNT THEIR EDUCATION AND CREDENTIALS .

This article does not go over the film part by part, so I am only going to include five of the speakers here. Believe me I could do them all, but I want to finish the piece quickly. Naturally I just have to quote the Vaxxed website again to introduce Polly Tommey:



PRODUCER Polly Tommey is the mother of Billy, a 20-year old boy with autism, a book author, founding Editor-in-Chief of the world's preeminent autism magazine The Autism File, and Autism Media Channel's TV host and award-winning documentary film producer ("Who Killed Alex Spourdalakis").

An outspoken autism advocate and founder of the not-for-profit Autism Trust in the UK and US, Polly has appeared in print and television media around the globe, gone head-to-head with top political leaders, and never compromised.

She has received multiple nominations and awards from, among others, The Women of The Year Foundation, Red magazine, and the British Society of Magazine Editors.

She is also a Producer on "Vaxxed: From Cover-Up to Catastrophe."

Do you know? I honestly do not think these people understand how they are coming across, writing stuff like this about themselves? Can anyone verify these awards that are mentioned? Please? Women of the Year's website does not recognize the woman's name? I find no reference to this award nomination save by Tommey's own website and what she has told others.

Red Magazine - is that Hearst magazine? Oh hang on there is a Red for women site here too... I tried them both. Nope - is my web browser faulty? Check yourself. British Society of Magazine Editors...oh deary me. My computer must be bust? All I get are the usual references for the name Polly Tommey. Surely these are not false claims? I don't know. (Polly, you do know it's not a good idea to put your name into the internet? You will not like what you find).

Where it says producer, that means we was one of the people who helped Del and Andy with the camera's, booking editing suites and getting a crew together, making tea, driving them around etc. They would all know this stuff having had practice on The Autism Channel. Their own pet project that gives them something to do in the daytime.

Dr. Doreen Granpeesheh is described as the founder of the Centre for Autism and Related Disorders. She is a doctor of psychology and carries the letters BCBA after her name too. Board Certified Behavioral Analyst. She's been in the recovering children from autism' game for 30 years. Her words not mine.

BCBA means that she is a practitioner of Applied Behavioral Analysis, that most notorious and controversial of autism interventions. It is seen by autistics as enforced social skills, and they usually discuss it as the same thing as dog training.

Being extensively interviewed in Vaxxed, Dr. Granpeesheh betrays her approach and attitude to autistic people in general. Which appears to be that she recovers them and turn them normal from...er...brain damage. Which is what Vaxxed thinks autism is.

How do you do that? Brain damage? The CARD clinic has a film you can buy that shows child after child who has been returned to neurotypical.

So what is an ABA clinic boss doing endorsing the Anti Vaxx cult? Unless it betrays the fact that she knows full well she's merely training autistic children to pretend to be neurotypical, and then calls that recovery and curing. Then she charges families and parents for the service because the CARD is a private clinic. Nice racket, Doc.

I don't want to go on too long and wish to keep this piece short. But actually if you use YouTube to look up Dr. Granpeesheh on the subject of autism and vaccines some very interesting films come up. A 2011 interview on Fox News finds her being utterly neutral on the subject of its causes, and commenting instead many parents believe it was the vaccines. This whilst avoiding stating any personal belief about its causes save it may be due to toxins in the environment. She changes her tune in Vaxxed some five years later.

From this short news piece we see her commenting on how children get diagnosed with autism *APPROXIMATELY AT THE SAME TIME THAT THESE CHILDREN ARE BEING VACCINATED...*



Cheers Doc. So you have not always been so narrow minded, eh?⁵

-
- ⁵ Someone interviewed with Dr. Dora was one Nancy Alspaugh Jackson who is described as the Executive Director of Autism Care and Treatment. On a YouTube show called Autism Live, both Dr. Dora and herself appear. Well the thing is by the CARD. One episode of this utterly by and for curebie parents show, Del Bigtree and Polly Tommey appeared and took over the whole thing. The resident presenters, Mumsy style women, sat in awe of every word they said.... The Causes and Risk Factors (?) list on DAN's website has this line on its bottom: **There is some evidence that the critical period for developing ASDs occurs before birth. However, concerns about vaccines and infections have led researchers to consider risk factors before and after birth.** That's it, then an unrelated section. Can you decipher it? This looks to me, like a line of typical coded worry-the-parents-with-ambiguity anti vaxxness.

Dr. Brian Hooker conducted the entrapment on Dr. William Thompson. It never gets called an entrapment. Hooker travelled over a state line to another one where it was legal to do what he did, as he lived in a state where it was not. Which gives you an idea of how much Thompson is supposed to have wanted any of this to happen.

He is said to have had no idea he was being recorded. The only research I conducted that involved contacting another person was to ask someone online very connected to the whole business, if Thompson had any children. I was looking for a personal connection to autism, and thus through that to the Wakefield Cult etc. The reply was no idea. This was due to the fact that very little is known about the man personally. I was told that Dr. William Thompson is a private person who has hardly made any comments publically about the recordings. I would be suspicious of anyone who says he has. Was the entire phone conversation staged? We may never know.

(In the film Hooker explains that he met Thompson because he was connected to him via the CDC as the inside man to address his questions to. We have no idea if Thompson is another cult member, or has any sympathies for Hookers utterly biased monofocused beliefs. One thing is for sure – we never will have unless Dr Thompson actually goes public and answers questions etc. If he was one of them, here I think he would say he was not. So it is impossible to tell if he is reading from the same script. No, he just sounds word for word like he is reading the same script as everyone else. Just like everyone else).

It was only recently I discovered that Hookers Phd is in chemical engineering. He is only involved in the film due to his son being autistic and his attempt in 2002 to bring a case of vaccine damage to the courts. His is always referred to as Dr. Brian Hooker in subtitles.

What you should look out for is that like in Dr. Seneff's films on YouTube, he can speak with seemingly great authority on the subject of vaccines and medicine. Clever people who did their homework or something else? This is a film directed by a man of Andrew Wakefield's undoubted caliber of medical understanding no matter what he has gone on to do with it. As I went into the film and its peripheral information I saw that this could be the most disturbing fraud I've ever seen. Put simply, there is someone involved with these people who is very, very clever at manipulating medical science.

A month after Vaxxed came out his claim was rejected. Hooker claimed his 15 month old son 'regressed into autism' two weeks after his vaccination at that time. According to medical records however, his son was showing signs of autism at 4 months of age. Erm....

A very interesting article on the website Left Brain Right brain went on to state that despite this, film of Hookers autistic child remained in the film and Hooker kept making personal appearances at screenings to speak alongside the Cult leaders. He never modified his beliefs either. Post Truth USA, eh folks?

Dr Stephanie Seneff appears. No connection to anything in the film so far heralds this. She cites her qualifications first off. She's done well. Sounds like one of us autistics actually? Surely not. She appears on camera walking around the Massachusetts Institute of Technology campus, laying claim to being a senior research scientist in the

MIT Artificial Intelligence laboratory. She claims to have a Bsc in Biology and MSC and PHD degrees in Nautical Engineering and Computer Science.

She is not a parent. She claims she became interested in autism 'a long time ago' when one of her friend's children was diagnosed with autism following a DPT shot. I assume this means diphtheria?

(I wish these people would make their minds up which vaccines cause autism. Seems like all of them do, in which case it is a miracle that there are actually so few autistic people in the world compared to people who are fully vaccinated and apparently not on the spectrum at all.

From this glaringly apparent story flaw, Vaxxed makers really needed to have thought through the scenario more. Although television and film viewers who have grown up with these sorts of wooly plots, will be used to having to ignore holes in such stories in order to keep enjoying a science fiction or fantasy film. Maybe that unconscious mechanism is forever present, wherever Vaxxed is screened or seen?)

Dr. Seneff then discusses the statistical curve of autism diagnosis in the USA, which she reckons will lead to the 50% of all children being born autistic statistic I quoted at the start of this piece. Quoting CDC information on autism prevalence, she calmly reaches her conclusion. Then she vanishes. That's it.

Her entire role is done save for a quick flash towards the end, reinforcing Wakefield who has just finished speaking about reviewing vaccination policy and how they need to separate the MMR vaccine out. Considering the entire tone of the film, it is surely somewhat irresponsible to suddenly endorse any vaccines at all? (Please Vaxxed makers, think about the scenario? Why in the end of film demands list is INSTANTING SINGLE STAGGERED VACCINATIONS present? Like anyone would go near one if they swallowed Vaxxed the Move?)

She gives a doomsday message about how society will be bogged down in the logistics of supporting so many (of course) mentally handicapped children. About how all the normal children will suffer from relative neglect and what on Earth will happen to society as a whole?

Authority is everything. Even when you have zero credentials at all to talk about autism. Dr. Seneff produces nothing more than an assumed, projected and hypothetical science fiction scenario. Written on the basis of statistics that may alter at any time between now and 2032.

Mark Blaxill is a journalist who appears suddenly in the middle of Dr. Seneff's piece. A noted anti vaxxer who published a book on the subject and is a ringleader of the Age of Autism site, he suddenly says this: *WE HAVE TO ACCEPT THE FACT THAT AUTISM IS NEW. THAT BEFORE 1930 THE RATE OF AUTISM IN THE WORLD WAS EFFECTIVELY ZERO. AND THEN FOR A LONG TIME IT WAS ONE IN TEN THOUSAND.*

Anyone familiar with the book Neurotribes will know he is lying. Anyone familiar with my late father or who knows of many other mature autistics personally will know he is lying. If you knew of eccentric grandparents and even older who fitted the bill for being

neurodiverse, or are aware that AUTISTIC PEOPLE DO NOT HAVE TO LOOK, SOUND AND ACT AUTISTIC TO BE AUTISTIC, then you will have at least some suspicion that he is lying.

Studying history and looking for the personality traits of neurodiversity in late family members, celebrities and of course scholars and scientists, will surely generate some suspicion that he is lying. But as usual for one of the cult, Blaxill is 100% certain.

Well he would. Everyone in the film Vaxxed speaks with absolute certainty. I wouldn't myself, even about neurodiversity. I know I may be wrong. Could you relate in such absolute terms and then not have some sense of, well, you never know? I may be wrong?

WE HAVE NO IDEA WHAT LEADING QUESTIONS OR DIALOGUE CAUSED Dr. WILLIAM THOMPSON TO PRODUCE THE STATEMENTS WE HEAR. We get no sense of the overall telephone conversation or conversations if there was more than one. I'm a bit vague about this as what we get told about how they were recorded, comes entirely from one man alone. Brian Hooker, the chemical engineer who seems to sit on the phone and relate to Dr. Thompson as if a medical phd equal.

Hooker makes his debut to the anti vaxx world here. In all the years of reading up on the movement I have never heard of him before. Of course the rest of the recording(s) may have contained more dialogue that detracted from what Wakefield and Bigtree wanted to be experienced.

It is a simple trick. You draw the speaker into making statements in one context then edit them so they make sense in and reinforce another.

Later on the word was put around online by Tommey/Bigtree and their followers that Dr. Thompson had signed a deposition that everything he was recorded as saying was true. Fine. So show us? I can equally make the statement that I for example, work for the extra terrestrials behind the pharmaceutical industry. I don't feel the need to prove it and so far, neither do Tommey and Bigtree. It is all a matter of faith O Followers of the Wakefield⁶.

BUT THERE ARE ACCOMPANYING DOCUMENTS THAT THOMPSON LEAKED THAT YOU CAN DOWNLOAD??? Er yes. I downloaded them from the Vaxxed website. One of them starts off by mentioning 'Wakefield and his colleagues' trying to make a connection between MMR vaccine and autism.

⁶ AHA! We've got him here I hear you cry? Where was this said online? If you look up the Vaxxed film on the internet you will very easily find reference to such statements as this if you use the right terms, such as *William Thompson, film, whistleblower, vaccines, autism* and so on. I stumbled across the statement easily. Point is that it is very easy to create breadcrumb trails online with a few websites, a lot of adding comments to articles on other sites, and of course the giants of it all, Facebook and via Twitter links.

I am convinced that cult members are using multiple aliases to do such things. The Guardian's Comments Are Free site is regularly targeted everytime they publish an article on Wakefield, vaccines and autism. You notice log in's from anti vaxx commentators, and people who are using the obtuse 'sow doubt and hint at dark and buried things without an obvious agenda showing' style who only comment on such stuff.

Simple question. ARE. THEY. REAL? Simple answer. Try understanding these two samples from the twenty eight documents you can download:

MMR/Autism Agenda

Date: April 16, 2002

- 1) Update on Data Checks / Final Database
 - a. Original controls are in database
 - b. Added new data from Marshalyn/Tanya on pre-existing conditions
- 2) Discussion of Descriptive Statistics
 - a. Total Sample
 - b. Birth Certificate Sample
- 3) Analyses
 - a. Discussion of MMR Exposure Variable
 - b. Analysis of Total Sample
 - i. Isolated
 - ii. Non-Isolated
 - iii. Delay < 1 and Pre-existing Condition
 - iv. Age of 1st Concern
 - c. Analysis of Birth Certificate Sample
 - i. Isolated
 - ii. Non-Isolated
 - iii. Delay < 1 and Pre-existing Condition
 - iv. Age of 1st Concern

TRUTHINMEDIA

MMR and Autism Characteristics of Children with Autism

- The overall prevalence of autism among 3-10 year old children is **3.4/1,000**.
- The prevalence of autism among:
 - children that had information abstracted at schools only was **1.4/1,000**
 - children that had information abstracted at other sources was **2.1/1,000**
 - children with a previous diagnosis for ASD was **2.1/1,000**
- The prevalence of autism among both White and Black children was **3.4/1,000**
- The prevalence of autism among White children who had information abstracted at schools only **1/1,000** while the prevalence among Black children was **1.9/1,000**. The prevalence of White children who had information abstracted at other sources (both sources and non-school sources only) was **2.4/1,000** and the rate among Black children was **1.5/1,000**.
- The prevalence of autism among children with a previous diagnosis of autism was **2.1/1,000**
- The prevalence of autism among White children who had a previous diagnosis of ASD was **2.2/1,000** and the prevalence among Black children was **2.1/1,000**. The lack of difference between these racial groups may be because Black children are more likely to have mental retardation or severe symptoms which may raise concern by the parent and thus lead to earlier identification and diagnosis of these children.
- The prevalence rate of isolated autism was **1.3/1,000** while the prevalence rate of non-isolated autism was **2.1/1,000**.
- The prevalence of isolated autism among White children is **1.6/1,000** children while the prevalence of isolated autism was **0.8/1,000** in Black children. The prevalence of non-isolated autism was **1.8/1,000** in White children while the prevalence of non-isolated autism was **2.5/1,000** in Black children. The higher prevalence of non-isolated autism among Black children is attributable to the higher prevalence of MR among these children.

Check the third from the bottom paragraph and what it says about the prevalence of autism diagnosis being sought by parents. Does that sound like a reference to vaccines alone causing autism to you?

All courtesy of Truth In Media, who put their logo on every page you could download. The second Jpeg would appear to be the smoking gun, eh? The truth at last. Or was this a rather simplistic document written in such a way that anyone could understand? (Please feel free to look up Truth in Media online? The new WordPress site is quite something. A typical member of the community that endorses the Wakefield Cult. He he).

Faith in Vaxxed is faith in an awful lot of peripheral statements you find exclusively online. Mainly on the Vaxxed website, let alone others such as one assumes, Truth in Media. My point is you just cannot get a simple AUTISM IS THE SAME AS VACCINE DAMAGE statement without it has to be part of some almighty structure of scientific intrigue. The more complicated to understand, the easier to fool people. Clever stuff. Or I am too thick to understand. Like the CDC, the BMC, all the major governments of the world etc... Generally anyone who thinks vaccines do not cause autism. I told you about the intellectual snobbery.

THE VAXXED SCREENINGS ARE ALSO BOTH MASS AND ONE TO ONE INDOCTRINATION MEETINGS.

I do not believe that the film works, standing alone. Not without a room full of die hard cult members and the leaders themselves reinforcing its mindset and paranoid world view.

Every time they screen it, the people behind it (Wakefield, Bigtree and Tommey) are right there to answer questions. In the forthcoming UK and Ireland tour, It will just be Tommey running around trying to find other parents who believe her. Naturally she will be filming them for social media and hopefully staging other Regents park University style secret screenings.

Vaxxed is a straight-to-internet film. It has to be. That is where its most appropriate audience is to be found. The people who see stuff online and swallow it. You never get films like this on cinema chains. For one thing only Art House style places would screen it. In the minds of Vaxxed creators it is meant to rank with An Inconvenient Truth, the global warming film.

You can now see the likes of Vaxxed on Amazon and Netflix. Although it is impossible it will appear on these mediums. Amazon was castigated publically just for having the film available to buy through them. Think the general public will want to buy it on DVD? Only a dedicated few will. You can pay to view and download online via the dedicated website and a pay to view YouTube channel. Otherwise it keeps turning up for free on regular YouTube, bootlegged. It can be downloaded illegally via Bit Torrent, supporting piracy in its own small way.

Wakefield himself sneaked in and out of England in order to be in attendance and be filmed speaking afterwards at the Regents park event. He made great play of the fact that many more came than would have fitted into the Curzon cinema Shaftesbury Avenue. Which cancelled the private screen hiring when they realized what was going on. Attended by who knows who and why, he delivered a wonderful verdict on why the CDC and all parties accused of a cover up in the film, have so far said nothing.

NONE OF THOSE ACCUSED OF WRONGDOING IN VAXXED ARE REMOTELY BOTHERED ABOUT THE FILM, AND JUST IGNORE IT. The screening in the Regents Park University on the evening of the 14th February 2017 was hosted by the so called Centre for Homeopathic Education or CHE. Judged by the criticisms that homeopathy has had for many many years, this surely says a great deal about what

kind of people believe in vaccine damage and what sort of friends Wakefield has been making.

On a freely viewable YouTube film, Wakefield started by explaining about how his movement was winning. He gave a series of rather odd explanations why, which seem to me to warp reality. He's rather good at this:

11:30 MINUTES IN *LET ME TELL YOU THIS. WHEN PEOPLE SAY TO YOU THAT THIS FILM IS FALSE, IT IS A LIE, IT IS A MYTH. ASK YOURSELF THIS?*

WE HAVE ACCUSED THESE FIVE SCIENTISTS, RIGHT UP TO THE DIRECTOR OF THE CDC HERSELF, JUDY GERBERDING, OF THE WORST HUMANITARIAN CRIME IN THE HISTORY OF THE WORLD. THESE PEOPLE WERE CHARGED WITH THE WELFARE AND SAFETY OF EVERY CHILD IN AMERICA. AND THEY PUT THEM AT RISK.

SO IF THERE WAS ONE WORD OF A LIE IN THIS FILM. ONE WORD OF A LIE. THEY WOULD HAVE SUED US TO THE MOON AND BACK AGAIN. AND THERE HAS BEEN NOT A WORD. WHY? BECAUSE THEY KNOW IT IS THE TRUTH. AND THEY CANNOT DEAL WITH IT. AS JACK NICHOLSON SAID, WITH THE TRUTH.

So there you have it. It is not that all the concerned parties think there is no concrete evidence and ignore it as rubbish? They are all hiding from reality because they will lose. It cannot be that its not worth the time and money to do anything WHEN ALL YOU HAVE TO DO IS IGNORE IT AND IT WILL ALL EVAPORATE OVER TIME BECAUSE THE CLAIMS HAVE NO BASIS IN REALITY. One year on, I am not aware of any private prosecutions brought about due to the films claims. Funny that, eh?

Was Dr William Thompsons statistical evidence in African American boys (alone) perfectly accurate. The way it is presented, how could you dispute it?

Well you don't. Because it does not actually mean that vaccines cause autism.

THE CORRELATION BETWEEN AFRICAN AMERICAN MALE CHILDREN'S VACCINATIONS AND A RISE IN AUTISM AMONGST THEM IS MERELY A STATISTIC. THERE IS STILL NO MEDICAL PROOF TO GIVE A BASIS FOR ONE CAUSING THE OTHER. No one thought of that. The film kind of goes on and quotes so much with so many interviews. But still and as usual no alternative beliefs are considered. No plan B. Just one explanation, without any other statistics in any other group of children to back it up. Nor a research proven causal link.

Mind you, plenty of utterly held to be true conjecture. Lots of observations by these clinicians and doctors they found who 100% confirm the films premise. Keep the faith. Always keep the faith. Mind you there is more to it...

"DOZENS OF PUBLISHED RESEARCH PAPERS SAY YES, VACCINES CAUSE AUTISM..." This is a line from the film, about one hour and sixteen minutes into it. Instead of these papers being featured and gone over one by one, you get this reference popping up during an animated film that we are told was made by The Canary Party.

(This is a connection I hope to investigate if I could be bothered to delve in to the murk of this film some more).

That's it. Nothing else. Its like saying WE HAVE PROOF THAT THE EARTH IS FLAT and then it gets forgotten with nothing else said. Literally dozens of history changing papers are referred to, as with several groups of say 12 or 13 published medical science papers that would confirm that there is a link between vaccines and autism.

Really? Surely one alone would be enough to change everything?

Er, well no. Some shallow research revealed a cache of about 80 such papers in one part of the internet. I found some courtesy of an American Christian called Ginger on Facebook, 30 of which I was able to read. Or rather a summary of each. I assume. Anyhow I must have become some sort of medical genius overnight because I could follow the language and expert medical science terms, and understand each one. About 200 words each they were. Clear, definite links were drawn. Not anything wooly or what if? Oh no. Proper 100% correlations.

So... Expect the world to change any second now. Or not. I thought, what if someone is out there writing these things in order to fool their own people without telling them? To aid the cause.

WE HAVE SEEN AND HEARD IT ALL BEFORE. Evangelists have been making films like this for decades. God. Jesus. You name the deity. Well actually in the English speaking world it seems to be entirely Christians that I come across, although I am aware of pro prophet Moslem films online. I once saw some rather aggressive pro Palestinian and anti Israeli film being shown at a book fair in Casablanca, Morocco. I've never seen any films that are evangelically Jewish? Not mainstream media that is.

Point is that evangelism in the English speaking first world seems to be entirely the domain of a small number of variations of the 'HALLELUJAH - PRAISE THE LORD' genre. Judaism and Islam don't bother. Buddhism appears in the odd pleasant film like Little Buddha. We consider all else to be cults and 'alternative faiths'. Hare Krishna's. So called New Age religions.

A fine example are the so called Orange People. The followers of the late Osho or Bahgwan Shree Rajneesh. A search on YouTube should reveal some interviews with this late great man, as well as other films on the subject. Some for and some against. I found another holy man called Sadhguru. He said that we all need to cheer up and then everyone and everything will be better all over the planet. Forever. In fact there appears to be an online army of such Swami types due to the culture in India adoring and promoting such people. Have a look. Pure dogmatic absolute statements.

Likewise when Del Bigtree managed to get himself on the stage at a Nation of Islaam meeting, October 2016. This frightening spectacle is right there on YouTube. He manages to get the audience give him a standing ovation by stating the goals that he repeats in Vaxxed. All the while stating that there doing this to support the 'Black Community' and their right to know the truth about a vaccine/autism/black male children link. Which Dr. William Thompson was recorded talking about, without

knowing that such people would be hearing his exact words one day. Which are of course all the proof you need.

(Mr. Bigtree. Please be more socially specific. It is like referring to the 'White Community'? There is a Hell of a lot of us and we are not all one big equal happy relating family. All communities comprise of sections and political/economic/national groups. Bigtree did not talk about any 'Black Community' in a specific way).

After which the leader of the Nation Of Islaam Farrukhan himself appears. He never touches on what Bigtree said and also gets lots of standing ovations (the audience are very keen on getting up and down) with a lengthy discourse on the subject of God. It's like Bigtree never spoke. How many other speakers hijacked the event to appear before the main man I wonder?⁷

The technique here is that by stating obvious and logical truisms - WE WANT THE TRUTH. WE WANT TO TAKE BACK FROM THE GOVERNMENT CONTROL OVER OUR CHILDREN'S BODIES. VACCINES ARE BIOLOGICALLY OPPRESSING US ALL - Bigtree easily gets a positive response. The audience appears to be entirely black muslims or sympathizers. They seem to love all of what he says, regardless of how seriously everyone can actually take any of it. This being due to their individual knowledge on the subject, its relevancy to their lives and their actually having formed an opinion etc.

Point is, that many people who see Vaxxed may not have had exposure to the film genre that it is part of. They might not know the formula. They will think it's a documentary full of candid, unbiased and sincere interviews. Which in fact as I have explained, appear to me to have all been staged.

Note also the use of 'truisms' in what was said both in Vaxxed and the Nation of Islaam film. A BIRD IN THE HAND IS WORTH TWO IN THE BUSH etc. All manipulation.

- WE WANT TO BE FREE.
- WE WANT THE TRUTH.
- WE DO NOT WANT TO BE LIED TO.
- WE DO NOT WANT TO HAVE MONEY MADE OUT OF OUR CHILDREN'S BODIES BY PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANIES.
- WE ARE NOT FOOLS WHO ARE EASILY CONNED AND LED.
- EVERYTHING SHOULD BE ORGANIC, NON GENETICALLY MODIFIED, NATURAL AND HEALTHY.

⁷ Note also the arrogance that Bigtree assumes in appearing at this event. The cult's members assume this God given authority to argue and represent their cause in petty Twitter arguments and dialogues on YouTube channels all the time. They are always in my experience the most aggressive and when challenged, swearingly vicious contributors. Who do they think they are?

Note also Bigtree's confidence and assumption of authority. Who is this man that he would travel his country in a tour bus giving these speeches and speak like this? I find it very strange that Del Bigtree is so driven, determined and skilled at oration. Find out everything you can about him.

- THE ENVIRONMENT IS FULL OF MAN MADE TOXINS THAT CAUSE OUR CHILDREN BRAIN DAMAGE.
- ANYTHING SUCH AS MERCURY OR ALUMINIUM PUT IN A VACCINE IS CLEARLY A POISON. (Regardless of for example thimerosal, an organic mercury that just passes from a vaccinated body very quickly and would have to be present over a period of time and in appropriate doses to cause any effects on the brain. Let alone actual long term brain damage. But do not let me ruin it for them).

Surely the whole chelation and detox myth of curing autism would rely on such substances remaining in the human body, let alone the brain. Many of these vaccine damaged by MMR children are quite old now. Amazing how long these substances have remained in their bodies, eh? (Oh, they thought of that. Although now gone, the brain damage remains in the form of autism. So the metals did leave?)

Yet there is more to say on the subject. Dr. Patricia has made a successful living out 'recovering children from autism'. Parents have been reporting that their children have been and are healing and healed from interventions. How does that work? Oh, easy.

If you can look, sound and act like you are not autistic (you learn to stop doing the clichéd things autistic people are supposed to) then it's a curing miracle, and hallelujah. Proof it was all toxins, mercury, vaccines etc all along. A condition that is still largely not understood by medical science reduced to a simple explanation.

Much of the reported recovery, if not all, appears to me to be autistic people developing social skills. We are usually late developers too, so that explains all this recovery time.

But note also how the world over, there are people online practically shrieking that the presence of certain substances in vaccines are poisoning children because IT IS SO UTTERLY AND WITHOUT DOUBT THE CASE. Who is being conned by who here? The anti vaxxers by their own people, or the pro vaccine people by some huge science fiction conspiracy to hide the truth?

'CONNED' is derived from the term Confidence Trick. Where you use the gaining of trust and respect in someone to get the better of them. Vaxxed makes out it is showing up how whole nations are being conned. Point is, notice how relative it all is? The more you are biased towards believing something the more you tend to unquestioningly trust it. If you do not trust it, then you will believe that the same thing is a con.

Vaxxed has so far shown itself to be an attempt to induce people into a mindset that totally promotes the beliefs of its makers. Although they try to say they are not biased...

"IT IS A VACCINE SAFETY FILM". Yeah, sure it is. Makes you want to have an informed choice and the freedom to decide whether to vaccinate your children. Which option do you think parents would take, reading and listening to these people?

VAXXED STIGMATIZES VACCINATION whilst constantly denying it is doing that. Simple as that. Consider the ramifications of putting people off vaccination? Do I really need to elaborate here? Well, for some people it seems I should. I will then have to state what I learned in junior school...

Andrew Wakefield and Polly Tommey repeatedly make the statement that they do not seek to put parents off vaccination. They say they want to give them an informed choice – which has long been a buzz phrase synonymous with the larger anti vaxx movement. (Which they are acknowledged as having a rather large position at the head of). This is a fine example of the sort of paradoxical thinking they seem to see as empowering parents to be in control of their children's bodies by having a choice....to vaccinate fully. Or not.

Everyone in their world should bow to the judgment and absolute authority of parents. One phrase that always turned up online over the years around the Age of Autism/Tommey/Autism File/Wakefield scene was...

YOU CAN NEVER KNOW WHAT IT IS TO BE A PARENT UNLESS YOU ARE ONE. They then invariably go on at length to explain what it was like. Parents would share their experiences repeatedly so you could see things their way and come to understand what only they could. Always with this absolute assumption of authority, be it in their individual capacities to make sense and understand anything in life, or their right to make medical judgments on the scale of what causes 'autism' (however they mean it) or to vaccinate their children.

Point is, this seems to me to be all about the biological power trip of having responsibility for mentally disabled children you managed to get an autism diagnosis for, your maternal and paternal instincts, and so on. Only in this case, externalized on such a scale that you start parenting reality itself, and expecting everyone else to accept your attitudes.

Well, I'm sorry to break the news to anyone reading who has kids, but it's not exactly that hard for people without children to understand? The mechanisms are all in place in me for example, despite being horrendously mentally handicapped with autism. I just do not respond to or feel the way that, I strongly suspect, the Vaxxed Cult members believe any normal healthy adult should when it comes to having children. I'm not alone too. It's called being mature and having peace of mind about these things.

Does everyone know about the almighty global feminist attitude that it is okay for a woman not to be a mother in her lifetime? Okay okay, it is a tragic sentence to a lifetime in a darkness of missing out and ignorance then. But I get my time to myself ☺

YOU DO NOT HAVE TO SEE THINGS THE SAME WAY AS THE VAXXED MAKERS DO. This will come as quite a shock to cult members. (It's a cult film). But actually no matter how much I convince, beg and ultimately threaten people to believe that

DOCTOR WHO IS THE GREATEST TELEVISION SERIES IN HUMAN HISTORY, some people just do not have that experience of watching the episodes. Or don't bother to look at it at all. Crazy, eh? They see the show and think...well...I don't like it. I don't go along with this. I've watched all the episodes and I am sorry, but none of this moves me nor speaks to me personally. I do not resonate with it.

Same thing with Vaxxed. It just does not work for everyone the way Cult Members on Twitter have tried to convince me that it does. I talked to them. It was not possible that the film was remotely irrelevant or not that profoundly life changing, or generally history writing. My point is that to an extent as I mentioned before, this film exists only in the hearts of its supporters. It's like watching someone else's home movies and being expected to have the same response to someone else's family members in someone else's holiday.

I guess the Vaxxed cultees win this one on the basis of, well, not everyone has enough intelligence, insight and great taste to appreciate it? Because....

THE FILMS SUPPORTERS BELIEVE IT CHANGES LIVES AND IS PERFECT. As I just tried to explain, there seems to be this belief amongst the films supporters that I engaged with personally on Twitter, that once you see it you are utterly convinced they are right. There does not seem to be any alternative experience. You fall on the floor screaming, shocked with the almighty shift in your consciousness I assume?

I've seen a few things on the television before. I have grown up and tried to develop a critical faculty to understand what is said to me. I have been made to believe things and swallowed lies and stories before. I know about selling and coercion techniques. I also know that the world still carries on as if 'there is one born every minute' and the world is full of 'suckers'. As an autistic adult I both take things said to me literally, and often look to people to be devoid of the cunning and guile I really have.

Do the makes of Vaxxed really truly believe that everyone is going to see this film and be 100% convinced **THEY ARE NOT BEING LIED TO**. Really? That everyone interviewed must be telling the absolute truth. That they have not made mistakes. That they are not either incompetent, led on in their beliefs by a cult (heh) or just plain seeing things the wrong way? That is an awful lot of authority to take in one movie, when governments and science in general don't seem to take any of this seriously. I wonder why?

THERE IS A CONSPIRACY TO HIDE THE TRUTH....

Uh oh. This is a constant theme throughout the world of the vaccines cause autism community. Which is why they have no idea why they permanently defeat their own arguments and undermine themselves. Even reading this, such people will consider it psychological warfare by a Big Pharma agent⁸.

⁸ Did you just accept that term as normal and understand what it means? Big Pharma? It is not a proper title at all. It's a slang expression that has come into common use, invariably in connection with conspiracy theories and the idea that huge and very wealthy pharmaceutical corporations – that manufacture vaccines on mass – are these ruthless businesses only interested in making money, no matter what the cost to human health. Like 'Informed Choice' and 'Hereditary Factors and Environmental Triggers' it's a term that only seems to exist to make you paranoid and at least subtly

Although it is more subtle than that isn't it? I do not realize I am a fool who is led on by the idea that proper science would tell the truth? The British Medical Council? The US Centre for Disease Control would come clean if there was anything. Huge pharmaceutical corporations do not go around bribing governments and their departments to the point that they effectively write reality? No. I would never work out that virtually everything in the (mainly Rupert Murdoch controlled) press is orientated by the power in our society of such organizations. The distant presence of the huge financial gravity mass of Big Pharma. Exerting it's influence on the whole world in order to rape children's brains to make money.

A huge global community now exists courtesy of the internet, which I am convinced has a great deal to do with how the cult has flourished. Searching YouTube alone will turn up hilarious and frightening conspiracy theory websites.

Many are very well designed, but look at the small print? They are usually one or two people trying to make out they are either an army or a proper government body. (Best example is VACCINE SAFETY COUNCIL. It is just something on some ones laptop. It is really well designed and has had a lot put into it. But follow the references? Check the links that prove the truth!! Same people or sympathizers. More nutters).

Bogus news channels made to look like proper studio's are quite easy to set up now and Wakefield has been 'interviewed' by the one person who runs them on a few. Have a look? Vaxxed is not the first attempt to create something that has authority due to the quality of its camera's and the qualifications of the people it interviews.

BLUE LIES AND VIRTUAL REALITY. We are told that due to President Trumps style of Populist leadership, we live in a 'Post Truth' world. The concept of Blue Lies turned up online in an article in New Scientist. This is where it is justified and okay to lie your head off. An example given was the rebels in Star Wars lying to the Empire representatives. Its okay! They are the good guys. It's okay! We think vaccines cause autism so we can falsify evidence to back that up and end up with thousands of proofs people can find online. So how do adults absorbing all this online handle the presence of bogus proof, warnings and evidence?

THE NEW MATURITY. Seems to me that what we have here is the monofocused Wakefield Cult/Anti Vaxx movement (swallowing pseudo science and once that fails, reduced to posting obscenities and insults in debates) and the people who believe that whatever the truth is, they are facing a cult/group of gullible parents who assume greater medical authority than anyone in proper medical science.

On the part of the establishment that sees no connection between vaccines and autism, there seems to be a new maturity developing in order to deal with the advent of social media and impressively formatted and presented websites which are being used to

bias you in one direction of thinking alone. That it's possible that pharmaceutical corporations know their product is causing what is being referred to as autism by the parents of such tragic victims? Language is a minefield in this world. But the terms do not exist outside it.

convey anti vaccine and pseudo science messages. The Bad Science movement is worth looking up.

This pattern has emerged in the online posting comments of non autistic parents who appear to be Vaxxed Cult supporters and who have, yes you guessed it, mentally handicapped children.

1. They will start any dialogue (invariably online) by quoting their own singular experience of their child's reactions to vaccines (which are as we shall see are usually proven in courts to be different to their child's medical records)
2. This leads to the Cult party lines about how this keeps happening and why as I list below.
3. When it is pointed out that 'proper science medical establishment' denies any link, they will automatically cite a number of preset reasons:
 - a. Big Pharma conspiracy with governments.
 - b. Murdock press lies.
 - c. We are the experts because we are parents and you weren't there.
 - d. You are biased/you do not understand/the media lies to you/who are you working for?/you do not have our intelligence and, hang on, you do have children don't you?
4. When that fails, or you are a leading whistleblower on Wakefield like Brian Deer the multi award winning Sunday Times professional journalist, they often retreat to abusive behavior. Here for example is a telling quote from the Left Brain/Right Brain article I quoted earlier. The postings this is part of are a perfect example of this.

Collette

July 10, 2016 at 06:48 #

Brian Deer,

Lol you are pathetic. Literally ever single article (with one exception) I have read on this topic, I find you lurking in the comments. Not only are you sadly in every comment section, but you show yourself to be a disgusting, vile and classless person with how you speak to/about people. Certainly nothing remotely resembling someone prestigious, respectable or professional.

I particularly enjoyed this article here:

<http://www.ageofautism.com/2011/01/keeping-anderson-cooper-honest-is-brian-deer-the-fraud.html>

I'd love to see you post your sad little defense or grotesque vitriol over this actual piece of journalism. You are a scumbag of the highest order, and I hope you rot in hell. 😊

-a beautiful mom-to-be of a beautiful healthy baby.

All the poor man did was make a civil comment on a very good article, on how Dr. Brian Hooker (doctorate in chemical engineering not medicine) was proved to be lying his head off in the Vaxxed film. As I explained before, it turned out that the vaccine injury claim for his child was denied by the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Panel back in May 2016. A month after the film came out.

This pattern has been repeated since I first became aware of the Age of Autism site in 2009. Seemingly maturely written articles by parents who assume both responsibility and insight into their children and in the world in general, will often and with varying degrees of subtlety, slide into nasty or bitchy comments by the ends of their articles. After that, a pool of piranha like parents and the odd sympathizer will devour the material in the free for all comments that gets added by people who do not agree with them. (I am pretty convinced that one such person will employ more than one log in to comment, as I mentioned before about Twitter. They appear to be sharing tactics).

A consistently nasty, aggressive and hateful tone can be found to carry over from article to article comments. There seem to be a lot of underlying unhappy people involved. My friend who is the autistic mother of an autistic child explained that these parents feel cheated by not having neurotypical children, and want some sort of revenge. She sees both motives as constantly present and has been reading many of these peoples posts for a long time now.

So my point overall, is that nasty, aggressive and cruel behaviour on social media is synonymous with the Wakefield Cult and the Anti Vaxx movement in general. But not its opponents, who try to reason with these people as best they can. Unless provoked to retaliate in kind they usually understand why the Anti Vaxxers behave the way they do. As I am sure you do too. So they have compassion for them as best they can.

Which leads to this insight into just how cruel the world they live in can get.

AT THE END, WAKEFIELD AND HOOKER REFER TO THE BELIEF THAT GOVERNMENTS AND PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANIES LIKE TO MURDER PEOPLE TO HIDE THEIR CONSPIRACIES TO HIDE THE TRUTH. You know, in case they give the game away? Dodgy music is played too. This is what is on the soundtrack:

(Wakefield)

Brian was about to publish a paper, using data that could only have come from an inside source at the CDC. A whistleblower. And from that point forward **William Thompson was a marked man.**

(Wakefield)

Brian had also submitted a related paper to a journal called Nature Neuroscience and in that paper he had specifically referred to an un named source at the CDC. **And my worst fears were realized** when a journalist from Nature Neuroscience contacted the CDC, contacted Walter Orangestein. The message went on to Frank De Stefino who sent it out to the co authors saying keep your heads down, this maybe coming your way.

(Brian Hooker)

The fear was...all of a sudden we'd be dredging the river for Bill Thompson.

(Wakefield)

I said to him, Brian we have got to do three things. We have got to get Thompson a whistleblower lawyer. We have got to make sure that his documents are with Posey and we've got to reveal his name.

(Wakefield)

Whistleblowers are in danger only as long as the only people who know their identity are their enemies.

Yes, it is like something from a spy film? At one hour and fifteen minutes into the film (depending on which version you watch be it downloaded, dvd or YouTube), both Andrew Wakefield and Brian Hooker make clear references to Dr. William Thompson possibly being assassinated. Presumably by the Campaign for Disease Control or whatever paranoid fantasy of Big Pharma they believe in.

If ever this conspiracy theory science fiction film betrayed itself, this is it. This is the

world that these people live in. This is how much of a fantasy, constructed with the willing participation of doctors and parents unable to come to terms with hereditary autism (who strangely always have 'low functioning' and deeply distressed children who will never lead normal lives. This is not the case with all autistics).

Also to be heard is menacing and ominous music that appears in the background. Owning synthesisers I recognise one of the effects. You use plenty of reverberation and strobe the sound with your low frequency oscillator to get that drilling, jarring sound. Why the theatricality in a film that is supposed to tell 'the truth'. For that matter, why so many childish dramatic images such as a syringe dripping silver globules of mercury?

Did you notice how the man with the doctorate in chemical engineering was submitting medical papers to Nature Neuroscience? This is an online medical science website and here are two quotes from it:

Time to give up on a single explanation for autism

Francesca Happé¹, Angelica Ronald¹ & Robert Plomin¹

Abstract

We argue that there will be no single (genetic or cognitive) cause for the diverse symptoms defining autism. We present recent evidence of behavioral fractionation of social impairment, communication difficulties and rigid and repetitive behaviors. Twin data suggest largely nonoverlapping genes acting on each of these traits. At the cognitive level, too, attempts at a single explanation for the symptoms of autism have failed. Implications for research and treatment are discussed.

And

Editorial

Nature Neuroscience **10**, 531 (2007)
doi:10.1038/nn0507-531

Silencing debate over autism

Abstract

Despite the lack of scientific evidence that childhood vaccines cause autism, extreme tactics used by those convinced that this hypothesis is correct have been increasingly successful in influencing public opinion and legislation.

Absolutely no trace of the name Brian Hooker or the word Hooker with a capital H, turn up when searching the site.

Thank you. ☺

THE FILM DOES NOT ALLOW ANY MARGIN FOR ERROR. Actually no anti vaxxer ever does. I have never read anything by anti vaxx/vaccines cause autism writers or

heard anything spoken that allows the slightest alternative belief. They can only be completely right about the causes of autism, and the truly evil nature of vaccines⁹.

That for me, does not signify true beliefs. It sounds like absolute, monolithic, dogmatic and narrow minded preaching. Hysteria. Aggressive fighting to have their way alone. F*** you we are right and if you argue with us you are insane and we will kill you. That sort of attitude.

Proper medical science is the business of the most likely hypothesis. The belief or beliefs that are drawn from the findings with the most to back them up, win. There are always peripheral findings and contradictory ones. Red herrings etc. Errors. Well not in the world of Andrew Wakefield and his Vaxxed on screen sycophants it seems.

EXPERTS, DOCTORS AND THE UTTERLY CERTAIN SPEAK. Have you ever heard so many people speak with so much certainty about the causes of autism? Saying that vaccines, which have not turned the majority of humans autistic even if they could (no one mentions that in the film. Might ruin it all), cause autism.

You are effectively being dictated to by these people. It gets worse in the trailer of the film ¹⁰Man Made Epidemic. There you get Europeans testifying in various accents, with one old received pronunciation Brit' and one man speaking in German to the camera. Because it is very hard to find these people in one country alone. Of the Big three behind Vaxxed, two are British people who had to emigrate to Texas to be truly taken seriously. Probably to be safe from the general public too. (Remember Tommey's comments about O'Leary and Dalmyne on film in a Texas kitchen? Does she really believe that she has no one to worry about encountering in the street or anywhere else in say Ireland or the UK these days? Why did she say that?)

How were these people brought together? Did they compare notes? How come they sound so much the same when they are clearly all over the world or at least different parts of the USA. If they all discovered the same thing why isn't it so apparent to proper medical science?

Er....because actually no one has proved a causal link between any of their claims and what is being referred to as autism? Not what they would call properly. But what do I know? Have I a medical degree?

WHO NEEDS QUALIFICATIONS AND STUDY ANYMORE? Aha - this is 2017. Such qualifications as medical degrees are not necessary any more if you read and watch enough anti vaxxers and Wakefield Cult Members. They made it okay for anyone in the world to have a medical opinion on what used to be such a profoundly expert field of medicine, that your average GP would only dare comment by repeating what had been published by expert researchers.

⁹ All Vaccines. Try finding out from these people about one bloody vaccine that is safe? ONE!

¹⁰ Another film about autism causes that also features Wakefield. More dogmatic! More European! More utterly irrefutable statements and, in the trailer, one truly wonderfully wrong statement. It gets explained towards the end in this piece. One of the contributors one Paul Shattock actually told me on Facebook that the interview section had been removed from the film later on. Which gives you an idea of how certain the makers were, and how easy come/easy go the whole narrative really is.

As Polly Tommey said in this Jpeg: TRUST THE PARENTS NOT THE PEDIATRICIAN'S. Her face is right next to this slogan and all. Well, what do they know? Maybe such doctors do not have children? (Don't ruin it for Polly if you know of any pediatricians who do have kids. She used to be an actor before she was suddenly an editor in chief you know? Just saying).



This is what it takes to become a Pediatrician:

Paediatric Specialty Training (ST)

Paediatrics offers a Run Through training programme, meaning that the years of training run continuously, providing you progress sufficiently. Training is monitored using a series of competency frameworks and a variety of assessment methods including examinations, supervision reports and work place based assessments.

The training pathway comprises of 3 levels;

Level 1 (ST1-3, 24-36 months). General paediatrics in acute settings to include emergency duties, inpatient/outpatients and neonatal medicine.

Level 2 (ST4-5, 12-24 months) General Paediatrics (12 months), Neonatal Medicine (6 months), Community Paediatrics (6 months)

Level 3 (ST6-8, 24-36 months) General Paediatrics (with possibility to spend 12 months in a sub-specialty) OR Sub-specialty Training (24-36 months depending on programme).



There are also other career routes and posts available in paediatrics.

Fixed term posts
Fixed Term Specialty Training Appointments (FTSTA) or Locum Appointment for Training (LAT) posts are temporary posts (usually for 1 year) that are approved for training.

Academic Careers
Some doctors start their career with an Academic Foundation post. Entry into academic paediatrics would usually start with an Academic Clinical Fellowship and may lead to progression to a Clinical Lectureship.

Career grade posts (non consultant)
Some doctors take up career grade posts such as Clinical Fellow or SASG (Staff and Associate Specialist Grade) rather than continue on the Run Through training programme. Such posts can still contribute towards the entry requirements for the Specialist Register. For further information on these posts please visit the RCPCH website.

"One attraction to paediatrics for me was the paediatricians."



"The rate at which new discoveries and medical research in paediatrics are advancing far exceeds many other specialties. Research opportunities are vast. Paediatrics is a specialty of the future."

According to the left hand column, following graduating a full medical degree, pediatric training in the UK can take between two and eight more years to complete. Autism too by the way, and this will come as something of a surprise if you read enough Age of Autism/Autism File magazine, is a highly expert and specialist field of proper medical investigation and inquiry. Which is how I know that...

AUTISM (AS IT IS LARGELY DEFINED) IS ACTUALLY NOT FULLY UNDERSTOOD BY MEDICAL SCIENCE. Did you notice a few pages back where I mentioned this? If you thought it was like dropping a bombshell then pretending nothing happened, you are right.

Yes. Technically speaking autism per se, however it is largely defined (The Diagnostic Service Manual I mentioned gets much credit) is actually still much of a mystery. Technically speaking. But not for Wakefield, Tommey and Bigtree. Their autism is vaccine damage, which as they themselves love to demonstrate any chance they get, is a reality and has caused (they say) billions of dollars to be paid out to families in the United States.

This suggests to me my dear reader, that you just spent how many pages reading me on the basis of assumptions alone? Statements like *autism is a definite thing that is hereditary* turning out to be assumption alone for example? I think the truth is, as much as I am convinced the autism I refer to is hereditary, actually the term itself is ambiguous. Strictly speaking. It is up for grabs as I explained.

(If it was not for the Wakefield Cult, where would we get all this information? Who else comes out with such statements. Ever hear this from the College of Homeopathic Educators, who as we saw previously did try and submit a report to the European Parliament about vaccine damage etc? Ever heard about that on the tv? Any other groups or individuals championing these beliefs you ever came across in the mass media or anywhere? Someone down the pub say, or in your knitting circle? A real expert mind you. The local professor of medicine down the road for example.

Exactly. AUTISM IS A PROFOUNDLY NICHE SUBJECT OF MEDICAL SCIENCE, THAT THE ANTI VAXXERS HAVE SPENT YEARS WORKING DAILY TO MAKE PUBLIC DOMAIN PROPERTY. TO DEBATE AND DECIDE ON.

THIS HAS ALL GOT A LOT TO DO WITH HOW INFORMATION IS PUBLISHED ON THE INTERNET. One of the first visible trends online when web sites and pages started appearing, where people setting up sites upon which they started to pontificate. You got these dogmatic statements and explanations about things. *This is how things are. This is how my people and I feel. This is how things should be* etcetera. Then they matured and got to be more self knowing in how they put over the same points. They realized they could defeat their own objectives with how they formatted their messages.

Nowadays in 2017 a generation of youths have grown up with the online information world and think it has always been there. Originally you needed a dial up modem on a

telephone landline to get to this stuff. Now you can see it on a telephone that sits in your hand, with the immediate access power of a huge old top of the range base unit connected on a telephone line. Previously to that you had to buy a magazine to experience the image resolutions and the standard of writing we all now take for granted.

All you need now is the capacity to write copy with enough authority and sincerity that it seems like something published in a proper academic journal. That is a matter of language, syntax and presentation. It can also be seen as selling. Bigtree makes a great job of this as I reflected. It is easy to fool people that you write and speak with authority if you are better at language and have a greater understanding of expert medical terms than them.

But the point is, in our society as I see it everyone considers the polite way to relate to each other as conferring equal intelligence? Gone is the sense of hierarchy. I mean you get it in academia, or medicine and anything else that is based around expertise, money and maintaining ones worth in the face of daily tests?

But the audience for Vaxxed seems to be parents who are not in this frame of reference on the subject at all. They just want to understand their child's mental handicap and whether it is someone else's responsibility. Vaxxed seeks to draw anyone into having an opinion and making judgments on things that are very very niche and expert indeed. As I reflected earlier about the nature of autism, it's a subject that is not even totally understood. Funny how everyone in it seems to be so in harmony over such a vague subject then?

YOU WOULD THINK IT WAS ALL READ FROM ONE SINGLE SCRIPT? Everyone interviewed and Del Bigtree, sounds like they were all working from one uniform document. The constant use of medical jargon homogenizes the thing. No one argues with anyone else. One great big unhappy vaccine damaged family. Oh hang on, none of the vaccine damage are interviewed are they? Sorry.

Not much else to say there. Ha ha.

WAKEFIELD IS CREDITED AS DIRECTING IT. IT SHOWS. When reviewed it was mentioned that the interviews had clearly been very severely edited to give exactly the effect that the makers wanted. There seemed to be no counter arguments...no Devils advocate statements against the films one and only message for over an hour and a half. It was as if it was only possible to believe one single thing about the causes of autism. Which was constantly referred to. Autism autism autism.

So, all of these evil vaccines do not cause anything else? What about bowel disorders, which I recall parents arguing with me about in an exchange in the Independent newspapers letters section about 10 years ago or more. In print. Those were the days. Have all the bowel problems gone now? Glad to hear it. MYSELF AND 25 OTHER PARENTS, HEREBY REPUDIATE THE NATIONAL AUTISTIC SOCIETIES NEW CAMPAIGN TO REPRESENT AUTISTIC PEOPLE. BECAUSE THEY ARE NOT

MENTIONING BOWEL DISORDERS IN THE AUTISTIC. I am not joking. This is more or less what one mother wrote. Vaccines were not mentioned.

THE MATURE ADULT EFFECT. One reason people have dismissed Vaxxed is because no matter what has appeared on the screen, they do not believe it. Who are these people and can they be trusted, they may ask. Are these 'facts' actually true or made up? Do we care?

The film makers seem to assume a number of things about their audience:

- THEY WILL RESPOND TO EVERYTHING THE WAY CONCERNED PARENTS WOULD.
- THAT ANYONE WHO IS NOT A PARENT, OR SOMEONE WITHOUT WHAT THEY CONSIDER TO BE A HEALTHY, NORMAL SET OF RESPONSES, DOES NOT KNOW WHAT THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT AND IS TO BE IGNORED.
- THAT APART FROM THE ABOVE AND PEOPLE BRAIN DAMAGED BY VACCINES, THERE IS NO OTHER AUDIENCE.
- THAT THE ONLY WAY TO RELATE AND RESPOND TO THE FILM IS TO DO WHAT THEY THINK YOU SHOULD.

Polly Tommey starts the film by saying that surely if something this huge was going on, we would know? She then joins in the rest of it to try and prove why we do not. (Well okay, she just tells you rather than proves it). Point here is that she thinks her arguments are good. If they had not found Del Bigtree these past few years, would any version of Vaxxed have been the same?

Bigtree's skill set appears to rival that of Wakefield. Tommey jogs along doing her I AM A MOTHER AND A WOMAN routine. That's her authority. This is one reason why I see Tommey as the weakest link in the whole thing, due to her rather ill advised comments about it being okay for parents to kill their autistic children etc.

No really. A Youtube film turned up made on presumably a hand held phone. Tommey is found sitting in front of a cinema screen on the stage with Del darling. This is what she said. *GOOGLE PARENTS MURDER AUTISTIC CHILDREN? THIS IS GOING ON. PARENTS MURDERING THEIR AUTISTIC CHILDREN BECAUSE THEY DO NOT WANT THEM TO LIVE THEIR LIVES LIKE THAT. AND I FOR ONE WOULD NOT JUDGE THEM.* It is quite easy to find.

Point is, the group of people involved in making the film could only operate from a narrow frame of reference. A particular character permeates the whole thing. Both the film and the individuals behind it personally all assume a certain weighty authority in life. I would use terms such as *middle class, educated, authoritative, parental and responsible towards children* in general. Even the token working class mother (black person) is eloquent and articulate in her speech. She gives a wonderfully measured

performance, building up to her inevitable on camera breakdown. It is all deeply moving of course. I wonder how they found her? She has turned up on other films standing right next to Wakefield. Not everyone can do that for an interviewer, let alone wants to be in a film like Vaxxed.

HOW DID THEY FIND EVERYONE TO BE IN IT IF THIS IS JUST AN ORDINARY CROSS SECTION OF PEOPLE?

No one elected any of these people to be national representatives save the odd senator who appears speaking in the US Congress. (He just asks questions and in one scene, makes statements that he could easily go back on. The Vaxxed Cult seem to think that once something has been said, it stands eternally and can never be contradicted. Sorry everyone, but even senators can go back on what they said and change their minds. Time will tell).

It seems to me that without exception, everyone featured in the film are doing a perfect job of representing the Vaxxed people and their (identical) sympathizers. A bit too perfect.

In fact if you think about it, how did the Vaxxed people come to know about the Congressional questions, the interviewees and get permission to use the film excerpts shown? Surely for example, they were not involved with the Senators in the formulation of the questions in the first place? They just came to hear of these events in the newspapers etc. The senators are not just one more Wakefield Cult members are they? Surely no bias...

Where did all these clinic commanding doctors come from? How did they find the highly intelligent Cal Tec woman? How did they find everyone to be in it? It would ruin the objectivity of the piece if it looked like they were all in it together?

THEY ARE ALL IN IT TOGETHER. In a group of people this large (vaccinations are global) and widespread across classes and communities, isn't it rather odd that the film shows zero deviation from one party line? It's like the whole world is full of people who only agree with Bigtree and Wakefield.

Have you ever seen a large group of people come together where there was so much unanimous agreement? Where are the splinter groups such as the Mercury is Everything people? Or the Some Vaccines are Okay and Others are not faction? Or the Some Autism is Hereditary camp? Or the Different Damages from Dastardly Vaccines society? Or the Bowel Disorders from Immunisation Movement?

Nope. Apparently everyone involved in Vaxxed has only one uniform identity around the issue. Surely they are not all cult members taking the party line without any argument or deviation from one source? One leader. One guru. Baghwan Shree Wakefield.

FIONA O'LEARY AND THE CINEMA LIBRE LETTER.

They managed to get a distribution company called Cinema Libre to market the film. They are based in California. This is a place that Fiona O'Leary has never visited. She

is a self advocating autistic woman. She lives in Ireland and is married with five children. Fiona is one of the global army of people who see right through everyone and everything I have discussed here so far. She campaigns under the banner of Autistic Rights Together, an umbrella organization of people like myself¹¹.

But due to her online protests towards and dialogues with the kinds of people who support the film, many surely Cult Members proper, Cinema Libre decided to pick on her. (All she did was make comments on her website and others, and use Twitter and Facebook). She received this letter:

¹¹ Financed by Big Pharma to hide the truth about the great conspiracy to make money by brain damaging...oh, you know.



The reference to her trying to stop the film being distributed means that she used the internet and of course social media, to say the thing should be banned. It is bloody banned in the UK believe me A cursory trawl of the Irish online media will reveal exactly the same national and government opinion there. But it seems one stay at home mum with autism was too big a threat to ignore.

(Why do these people constantly refer to Wakefield as a Doctor? He remains disbarred from practicing it in the UK and he has no US qualifications anyone knows about? Are Cinema Libre technically Wakefield Cult members? Do they not understand what

sending something like this to people who can use computers will lead to? Being made to look silly, bullying and sad).

Also the people personally mentioned from the film are the big three of the Cult. Will I get a letter for writing this very piece you read before you? Oh no.... That was all that happened. They sent the letter and Fiona proceeded to share it with the internet. Good old Philippe Diaz, the very mention of his name presumably meant to strike terror in the hearts of anyone who reads it. Oh, and Jay Statman is mentioned too.

Who they? I do not know, as I have not actually researched these people and you know what? I'm not going to bother. But where is the legal action? Surely the film is in the right. Right? So...prove it Cinema Libre? What are you waiting for? The letter is nine months old to the date I now write this sentence? Come on?

The film is free to download illegally nowadays? People keep putting up varying length versions of it on YouTube for free. Invariably one assumes its supporters. Cinema Libre – check your business plan? The nature of the film has promoted bootlegging on an unstoppable scale by its most passionate fans. It keeps getting taken down okay, but then others go and put it up. There seem to be loads of bogus films you can click on now, that use stills of Wakefield etc to persuade you to watch them. Only to never show the film at all. Clickbait to make money out of YouTube films getting seen.

For me this is a fine example of how much the entire Vaxxed film, tour and ethos is basically one big fantasy bubble. It is not founded in a feasible reality, existing in the sad and murky end of YouTube with its supporters going on and on via Twitter and Facebook. The most refined they get is making comments on the likes of the Guardian's website. Like I said about the Nature Neuroscience website? No sign of Brian Hooker ;)

At the Regents Park University screening, Wakefield is to be found on a YouTube film actually mentioning Fiona O'Leary. He is asked whether he is aware of her. He says yes but claims he will not be communicating with or answering anything she sends or says about him. Why? Why pick on one of the few people saying what a planet of sane people think and feel?

Seems to me that this is the level the entire Vaxxed Cult is really at. Petty squabble style argument with one woman telling it like it is. Tommey has also referenced both O'Leary and her friend and co partner in Autistic Rights Together in the UK, the activist Emma Dalmayne. On yet another bloody YouTube film she said of her visit to Dublin *THERE ARE ONLY TWO WOMEN PROTESTING ABOUT ME ANYWAY*...as if no one else was interested.

Tommey was making one of her diary films around Feb/March 2017, which was filmed in a Texas kitchen with two other women. In it she makes the above statement about her arriving in the Dublin airport around about April 2017, and the possibility of finding the two women waiting for her as she leaves the plane.

(Suddenly I got this creepy feeling that all the work I am doing here is merely the discussing of a small group of very odd people, who outside of the sphere of their beliefs

and the people they can and have influenced, really do not exist. Except as people stigmatizing vaccines. Which as an autistic self advocate is why I bother. That and it's been a great exercise in getting back to writing as I have not produced a book for years).

THIS IS NOT GOING TO END WELL.

Do you, like myself, get this feeling that the entire Vaxxed/Wakefield Cult situation has only got so long? An unsustainable group fantasy that will ultimately implode. The remains a tiny, ageing group of fanatics? It would be great to fast forward in time to see what happens in the next ten and then twenty years. But unlike Vaxxed we do not live in a fantasy science fiction world.

Parents awake daily to the reality of having severely mentally disable children. No amount of dreaming that one day, huge lumps of cash will lead to research that lead to cures that lead to their children becoming non autistic, are guaranteed. The cash of course will come from the compensation derived from the global court cases once Wakefield and his Cult are proved right. Like with Hannah Poling and the other proven vaccine injured cases years ago. Which the Cult publicize are many. So...er...why isn't autism...er...?

I personally believe this will never happen. The conspiracy is just too well organized. ;)

PROPAGANDA.

I had been writing this piece for several days and had passed the 6000 word mark before I realized I had completely forgotten about and neglected the concept of the Propaganda Film. I have no idea how I did that. Of all the films designed for a specific purpose, why not think of the genre of propaganda?

I think it says a lot about how the film was made that it has achieved that. A look at the trailer of another Vaxxed style film, Man Made Epidemic, reveals that it also contains Wakefield as well as yet another group of dodgy sounding experts/doctors who make completely dogmatic and irrefutable statements on a massive scale

Pesticides are causing autism...something is causing the rise in autism.... Quote: *"If we were failing to diagnose one child in 35 autistic 20 years ago, where are they now? They would be adults with autism. We do not have such statistics among adults with autism. The statistics are in the little ones"*. The latter is my favorite quote since my late father, half sister, my wife and I are those missing autistics. Oh, and who knows how many of us undiagnosed and people I have met and work with and etc etc.

Also, as usual, I am certain the first few moments of the film will give the same summing up of what autism is as Vaxxed does. Tragic retardation. Unable to lead an ordinary life. Always going to need help. Unable to appreciate Australian soap operas and Coronation Street¹².

¹² This is a long running television series based in the North of England. It consists of neurotypicals engaged in non stop drivel, arguments and conversations that do not terminate but go endlessly on. It is now fifty six years old and still curing early evening insomnia. For the 50th anniversary they worked in a train crash actually on site in the street it is situated in. I thought it was the only good thing I ever saw in it. Two personal friends of mine were in the cast at that time. Poor people.

This film too behaves and looks exactly like Vaxxed. Wakefield has to be in it. He is by God! He sounds great and comes over with his usual authority. As I reflected earlier, there are only so many of these people in the world. They manage to go European in this film with interviewees from across the continent, with some needing subtitles. Yet still this dozen or less is as many as they can find?

It is a bit comical to me that such totally 100% absolutely bloody certain statements can be made on such serious subjects when the governments of the world don't take any of it seriously. Naturally conspiracy theory is used to explain this upon their website.

Point here is that you either totally swallow this stuff, or you just cannot. Vaxxed like Man Made Epidemic have created their very own genre together of DOGMATIC DOOMSDAY CONSPIRACY THEORY SCIENCE FICTION MEDICAL HYPERBOLAE FILMS. In which the world started ending years ago and the governments are taking money from pharmaceutical companies to let this happen. By allowing money to be made from damaging the brains of little babies. Sounds perfectly feasible, eh?

WHAT DID THE CLEARLY BIASED AND PAID OFF BY THE GREAT CONSPIRACY (pause for breath) **AND OF COURSE IN THE PAY OF BIG PHARMA PRESS, SAY ABOUT THE GREAT AND WONDERFUL SALVATION FILM.** If I may quote Wikipedia?

On [review aggregation](#) website [Rotten Tomatoes](#), the film has an approval rating of 33% based on 12 reviews, and an [average rating](#) of 4.1/10.

"In his film debut, Wakefield has cast himself as the victim of a massive conspiracy to hide the truth... What drove Wakefield from being a respected researcher to a conspiracy theorist?"
Paul Offit in [Hollywood Reporter](#).

Documentary director Penny Lane stated:

Issues around truth and ethics in documentary can get thorny. But this one is easy. This film is not some sort of disinterested investigation into the 'vaccines cause autism' hoax; this film is directed by the person who perpetuated the hoax.
— Penny Lane (director).

A review by the health and science news-site [Medical Daily](#) states:

[Vaxxed] doesn't care about convincing its audience with evidence. Instead, Wakefield, Hooker, and producer Del Bigtree run the viewer through a well-trod gauntlet of emotional pleas, context-free statistics ... and shadowy conspiracies, with Bigtree claiming that "all of television" has been bought out by the pharmaceutical industry.

— Ed Cara, the Medical Daily.

Independent film news-site [Indiewire](#) concludes the film pushes an outrageous agenda, and says:

Wakefield's by-the-numbers approach to didactic storytelling relies on tons of random factoids positioned out of context to drive home his agenda. An end credit declares that "every seven minutes, a child in the U.S. is diagnosed with autism," the kind of tenuous data set that passes for hard evidence in Wakefield's bizarro universe.

— Eric Kohn, Indiewire.

The film's review in [Variety](#) magazine describes it as a "slickly produced but scientifically dubious hodgepodge of free-floating paranoia" and warns of its:

Anti-Big Pharma conspiracy mongering ... [which] too often resembles the kind of one-sided, paranoia-stoking agitprop that political activists construct to sanctify true believers and assault infidels. [Vaxxed] should be taken with several grains of industrial-strength salt.

— Joe Leydon, Film Critic Variety.

[Mick LaSalle](#) of the [San Francisco Chronicle](#) was described by Ariana Eun Cha as giving a "generous" review and said that he "appeared to be moved by the footage and personal stories of the children and their parents."^[42] He wrote:

The vast majority of people who see this film will not have the scientific knowledge to assess the film's veracity. But it's fair to say that the documentary, though characterized as antivaccination, isn't quite that. The point of view is more nuanced. It's against the vaccination of children ages 2 and younger. And it's particularly against the MMR — that is, the giving of three vaccines at once ... it's a passionate advocate for its viewpoint, and that makes for compelling viewing.... Of course, it's possible that the children would have developed autism anyway, and that one event didn't cause the other. But the parents presented here are convinced otherwise.

Pediatrician Philip LaRussa wrote that "Wakefield's film acts as if his research had not been revealed as fraudulent":

[Wakefield] didn't mention the fact that he lost his license in Great Britain, he didn't mention the fact that [11] of his co-authors withdrew their names from his paper. He didn't mention the fact that there was a series of investigative articles by [Sunday Times journalist] Brian Deer. None of that existed in this film.

— Vaxxed: an expert view on controversial film about vaccines and autism.

The [Houston Press](#) described the film as a "tragic fraud",^[25] noting:

The Hooker, Wakefield and Bigtree segments are spliced with testimonials from parents describing their own ordeals with late-onset autism, which only points to another insidious aspect of Wakefield's fraud. These interviews are heartbreaking. There may be few tragedies as great as a parent watching a child's future rapidly contract. But it's another tragedy altogether to give these desperate mothers and fathers this straw at which to grasp.

— Pete Vonder Haar, Houston Press.

Professor [David Gorski](#) calls the film's 'CDC whistleblower' affair "the central conspiracy theory of the antivaccine movement" and in response asks:

... How on earth did this documentary full of anti vaccine lies ... get into Tribeca?

— David H. Gorski, Oncologist & scientific watchdog - [The LA Times](#).

[The Age](#) newspaper critiques Wakefield's film Vaxxed: From Cover-Up to Catastrophe and states:

It's not a stretch to say that the title of this new film could well describe the shenanigans of Wakefield himself in the late '90s – the cover-up being the secret contract with lawyers who paid him to construct a case against the MMR, and the catastrophe, of course, the worldwide slump in vaccination... There is something profoundly ironic about Wakefield pointing to the commercial interests of the pharmaceutical industry or accusing the CDC of data manipulation when you consider his own undisclosed financial interests behind the 1998 Lancet study and his role in what has been called one of the most flagrant frauds in medical history.

— Sarah Gill, The Age, Melbourne Australia.

What ever you do, do not forget one thing.

This film was released on the First of April 2016.

Thank you.

